

Application Serial No.: 10/684,201  
Amendment dated October 28, 2005  
Responsive to Office Action dated July 29, 2005

### **REMARKS**

This Amendment is being filed in response to an Office Action mailed on July 29, 2005. Claims 1-30 are pending in this application, of which claims 1-29 are allowed and claim 30 stands rejected. Claims 1, 17, 29 and 30 are independent. In this Amendment, claim 30 has been amended. Applicants respectfully maintain that the amendment was made solely for the purpose of clarifying the allowable subject matter intended to have been presented therein, and therefore should not be construed to limit the scope of the claims in any way. Applicants respectfully maintain that no new subject matter has been added. Accordingly, claims 1-30 remain pending in this application. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in light of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicants note with appreciation that the Examiner indicated claims 1-29 are allowed. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-29 disclose a card holder system comprising a member that can be coupled with the card holder such that the card holder may be selectively positioned in at least a first orientation or a second orientation. Likewise, claim 30 has been amended herein to clarify the allowable subject matter already present in claims 1-29 discussed above, and now recites "a retention member adapted for retaining the card holder to the cage and constructed and arranged to be secured to the card holder such that the card holder may be selectively pivotally displaceable between at least two orientations." As evidenced by the allowance of claims 1-29, this subject matter clearly distinguishes over the prior art. For the same reasons as claims 1-29, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 30 as amended herein is patentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,690,100 to Thomas.

Application Serial No.: 10/684,201  
Amendment dated October 28, 2005  
Responsive to Office Action dated July 29, 2005

**CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, by reasons of the amendment to claim 30, Applicants submit that all of the claims remaining in the application (i.e., 1-30) are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable consideration of the present application in view of the amendments to the claims and remarks provided herein is respectfully requested.

No fee is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Amendment. However, if any fee is due the amount of such fee may be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4709.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven B. Pokotilow  
Registration No. 26,405  
Attorney for Applicants  
STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038-4982  
(212) 806-5400